IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

LIN, CHUN-CHENG

Examiner:

ARNOLD, ERNST V

Serial No.:

10/782,076

Group Art Unit:

1616

Filed:

02/19/2004

Docket No.:

10011-00048

Title:

Carbohydrate encapsulated nanoparticles

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 April 16, 2009

CUSTOMER NO. 74603

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Communication mailed April 3, 2009, submitted herewith is a statement of the substance of the interview conducted on March 31, 2009.

Record of Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

RECORD OF INTERVIEW

Applicant through the attorney on the record and identified below thanks the Examiner ARNOLD, ERNST V for the time spent in having a telephone interview with Applicant on March 31, 2009.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), the following is submitted as a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action. The following statement is intended to comply with the requirements of MPEP § 713.04 and expressly sets forth: (A) a brief description of the nature any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted; (B) identification of the claims discussed; (C) identification of specific prior art discussed; (D) identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed; (E) the general thrust of the principal arguments; (F) a general indication of any other pertinent matters; and (G) the general results or outcome of the interview, if appropriate.

On March 31, 2009, the undersigned contacted the examiner to discuss proposed claim amendments and the cited reference Andres et al. (A) No exhibits were shown or discussed; (B) proposed amendments to claims 1 and 4 were discussed; (C) the cited reference Andres et al. was discussed; (D) Examiner stated that the proposed amendments that excluded iron from the gold nanoparticles and excluded magnetic property would remove the reference Andres et al. (E) Examiner indicated that the new limitations would require further search; (F) Applicant indicated that a formal reply to the final Office Action would be submitted and requested an Advisory Action to be issued before the 3 months deadline; and (G) Examiner indicated that an Advisory Action would be issued within 10 days upon receiving the reply to the final Office Action.

Appl. No. 10/782,076 Reply. Dated April 16, 2009 Reply to Office Communication of April 03, 2009

In the event that the foregoing record is not considered complete and accurate, the Examiner is respectfully requested to bring any incompleteness or inaccuracy to the attention of the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

April 16, 2009

/Hsiu-Ming Saunders / Hsiu-Ming Saunders, Ph.D. Attorney for Applicants on the Record Reg. No. 47,055

IPC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONNECTIONS, INC.

299 Old County Road, Suite 28 San Carlos, CA 94070 Tel: (650)-557-4464

Mobile: (651) 235-7129 Fax: (650) 472-9153 Customer No. 74603